

Three Series for the Generalized Golden Mean

Kevin Hare
 Pure Mathematics
 University of Waterloo
 Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1
 Canada
 kghare@uwaterloo.ca

Helmut Prodinger
 Department of Mathematical Sciences
 Stellenbosch University
 7602 Stellenbosch
 South Africa
 hproding@sun.ac.za

Jeffrey Shallit
 Computer Science
 University of Waterloo
 Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1
 Canada
 shallit@cs.uwaterloo.ca

January 27, 2014

Abstract

As is well-known, the ratio of adjacent Fibonacci numbers tends to $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, and the ratio of adjacent Tribonacci numbers (where each term is the sum of the three preceding numbers) tends to the real root η of $X^3 - X^2 - X - 1 = 0$. Letting α_n denote the corresponding ratio for the generalized Fibonacci numbers, where each term is the sum of the n preceding, we obtain rapidly converging series for α_n , $1/\alpha_n$, and $1/(2 - \alpha_n)$.

1 Introduction

The Fibonacci numbers are defined by the recurrence

$$F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}$$

with initial values $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$. The well-known Binet formula (actually already known to de Moivre) expresses F_i as a linear combination of the zeroes $\phi \doteq 1.61803 > 0 > \hat{\phi}$ of the characteristic polynomial of the recurrence $X^2 - X - 1$:

$$F_i = \frac{\phi^i - \hat{\phi}^i}{\phi - \hat{\phi}}.$$

Here the number $\phi = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ is popularly referred to as the *golden mean* or *golden ratio*.

Similarly, the “Tribonacci” numbers (the name is apparently due to Feinberg [3]; also see [9]) are defined by

$$T_i = T_{i-1} + T_{i-2} + T_{i-3}$$

with initial values $T_0 = T_1 = 0$ and $T_2 = 1$. Here we also have that T_i is a linear combination of $\eta_1^i, \eta_2^i, \eta_3^i$, where η_1, η_2, η_3 are the zeroes of the characteristic polynomial $X^3 - X^2 - X - 1$; see, e.g., [10]. Here

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \sqrt[3]{19 + 3\sqrt{33}} + \sqrt[3]{19 - 3\sqrt{33}} \right)$$

is the only real zero and $\eta_1 \doteq 1.839$.

The “Tetranacci” (aka “Tetrabonacci”, “Quadranacci”) numbers are defined analogously by

$$A_i = A_{i-1} + A_{i-2} + A_{i-3} + A_{i-4}$$

with initial values $A_0 = A_1 = A_2 = 0$ and $A_3 = 1$. Once again, the A_i can be expressed as a linear combination of the zeroes of the characteristic polynomial $X^4 - X^3 - X^2 - X - 1$; see, for example [6].

More generally, we can define the generalized Fibonacci sequence of order n by

$$G_i^{(n)} = G_{i-1}^{(n)} + \cdots + G_{i-n}^{(n)}$$

with appropriate initial terms. Here the associated characteristic polynomial is $X^n - X^{n-1} - \cdots - X - 1$. As is well-known [7, 8], this polynomial has a single positive zero α_n , which is strictly between 1 and 2. (The other zeroes are discussed in [12].) Table 1 gives decimal approximations of the first few dominant zeroes. Furthermore, as Dresden has shown [1, Theorem 2], knowledge of α_n suffices to compute the i ’th generalized Fibonacci number of order n .

n	α_n
2	1.61803398874989484820
3	1.83928675521416113255
4	1.92756197548292530426
5	1.96594823664548533719
6	1.98358284342432633039
7	1.99196419660503502110
8	1.99603117973541458982
9	1.99802947026228669866
10	1.99901863271010113866

Table 1: Generalized golden means

It is natural to wonder how the generalized golden means α_n behave as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Dubeau [2] proved that $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 2}$ is an increasing sequence that converges to 2. In fact, it is not hard to show, using the binomial theorem, that

$$2 - \frac{1}{2^n - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n^2}{2^n}} < \alpha_n < 2 - \frac{1}{2^n - \frac{n}{2}}$$

for $n \geq 2$; see [5].

In this paper, we give three series that approximate α_n , $1/\alpha_n$, and $1/(2 - \alpha_n)$ to any desired order. Remarkably, all three have similar forms.

Theorem 1. *Let $n \geq 2$, and define $\alpha = \alpha_n$, the positive real zero of $X^n - X^{n-1} - \dots - X - 1$. Let $\beta = 1/\alpha$. Then*

(a)

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}.$$

(b)

$$\alpha = 2 - 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)-2}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}.$$

(c)

$$\frac{1}{2 - \alpha} = 2^n - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k+1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}$$

The proof is given in the next three sections. Our main tool is the classical Lagrange inversion formula; see, for example, [4, §A.6, p. 732]:

Theorem 2. Let $\Phi(t)$ and $f(t)$ be formal power series with $\Phi(0) \neq 0$, and suppose $t = z\Phi(t)$. If $\Phi(0) \neq 0$, we can write $t = t(z)$ as a formal power series in z . Then

$$(a) [z^k]t = \frac{1}{k}[t^{k-1}](\Phi(t))^k;$$

$$(b) [z^k]f(t) = \frac{1}{k}[t^{k-1}]f'(t)(\Phi(t))^k;$$

where, as usual, $[z^k]t$ (resp., $[z^k]f(t)$) denotes the coefficient of z^k in the series for t (resp., $f(t)$).

2 A series for β

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1 (a), namely:

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}.$$

Proof. From

$$\alpha^n = \alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha + 1$$

we get

$$(1 - \alpha)\alpha^n = 1 - \alpha^n$$

and hence

$$\alpha^{n+1} - 2\alpha^n + 1 = 0. \tag{1}$$

Recalling that $\beta = 1/\alpha$ we get

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\beta^{n+1}. \tag{2}$$

Let $\Phi(t) = (t + \frac{1}{2})^{n+1}$ and

$$t = z\Phi(t), \tag{3}$$

as in the hypothesis of Theorem 2. We notice that $t = \beta - \frac{1}{2}$ and $z = \frac{1}{2}$ is a solution to Eq. (3), as shown in Eq. (2). From the Lagrange inversion formula and the binomial theorem, we get

$$[z^k]t = \frac{1}{k}[t^{k-1}]\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{k(n+1)} = \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)+1-k}}.$$

So

$$t = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)+1-k}} z^k.$$

In particular, at $z = \frac{1}{2}$ and $t = \beta - \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}},$$

as required. □

3 A series for α

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1 (b), namely:

$$\alpha = 2 - 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)-2}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}.$$

This formula was previously discovered in 1998 by Wolfram [11, Theorem 3.9].

Proof. From (1) we get

$$\alpha^n(\alpha - 2) + 1 = 0$$

and so

$$2 - \alpha = \alpha^{-n}. \tag{4}$$

Let $\Phi(t) = (1 - \frac{t}{2})^{-n}$ and

$$t = z\Phi(t)$$

as in the hypothesis of Theorem 2. We observe that $t = 2 - \alpha$ and $z = 2^{-n}$ is a solution, as shown in Eq. (4). Using the Lagrange inversion formula again, we find

$$[z^k]t = \frac{1}{k} [t^{k-1}] \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)^{-kn} = \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)-2}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

Therefore

$$t = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)-2}{k-1} z^k \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

In particular, evaluating this at $t = 2 - \alpha$ and $z = 2^{-n}$ gives

$$2 - \alpha = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)-2}{k-1} 2^{-nk} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}},$$

or

$$\alpha = 2 - 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)-2}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}},$$

giving us a series for α . □

4 A series for $1/(2 - \alpha)$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1 (c), namely:

$$\frac{1}{2 - \alpha} = 2^n - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k+1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}.$$

Proof. Define

$$S(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} z^k [t^{k+1}] (1+t)^{k(n+1)}.$$

At $z = 2^{-(n+1)}$, this gives

$$S(1/2^{n+1}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k+1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$S(1/2^{n+1}) = -2^n + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2-\alpha}.$$

We see from Eq. (4) that

$$\frac{2}{\alpha} - 1 = \alpha^{-n-1} \quad (5)$$

Let $t = z\Phi(t)$ as before. Further let

$$\Phi(t) = (1+t)^{n+1}, \quad f'(t) = -\Phi^{-2}.$$

We see that $z = 1/2^{n+1}$ and $t = \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1$ is a solution to $t = z\Phi(t)$ by Eq. (5).

To get a series for $1/(2-\alpha)$, we start from the Lagrange inversion formula, part (b), to get

$$f(t) = f(0) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} z^k [t^{k-1}] (\Phi(t))^k f'(t).$$

Differentiating with respect to z gives

$$\frac{d}{dz} f(t) = \frac{dt}{dz} \cdot f'(t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1} [t^{k-1}] (\Phi(t))^k f'(t).$$

Using $t = z\Phi(t)$ we see that $\frac{dt}{dz} = \frac{\Phi(t)^2}{\Phi(t) - \Phi'(t)}$. This gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Phi^2}{\Phi - t\Phi'} \cdot f'(t) &= \sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1} [t^{k-1}] (\Phi(t))^k f'(t) \\ &= [t^0] \Phi(t) f'(t) + z^1 [t^1] (\Phi(t))^2 f'(t) + \sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k+1} [t^{k+1}] (\Phi(t))^k (\Phi(t))^2 f'(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $f'(t) = -\frac{1}{\Phi^2}$ we get

$$-\frac{1}{\Phi - t\Phi'} = -1 - \sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k+1} [t^{k+1}] (\Phi(t))^k.$$

Observing that $S'(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1} [t^{k+1}] (1+t)^{k(n+1)}$, this simplifies to

$$2z^2 S'(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\Phi - t\Phi'}.$$

Thus

$$S'(z) = \frac{1}{2z^2} - \frac{1}{\Phi - t\Phi'} \frac{\Phi^2}{2t^2},$$

so

$$S(z) = -\frac{1}{2z} - \int \frac{1}{\Phi - t\Phi'} \frac{\Phi^2}{2t^2} dz = -\frac{1}{2z} - \int \frac{\Phi - t\Phi'}{\Phi^2} \frac{1}{\Phi - t\Phi'} \frac{\Phi^2}{2t^2} dt$$

and

$$S(z) = -\frac{1}{2z} - \int dt \frac{1}{2t^2} = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{1}{2t} + C.$$

In order to compute the integration constant C , we note that $S(0) = 0$. Then

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{t} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi - 1}{t} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1+t)^{n+1} - 1}{t} = \frac{n+1}{2}$$

and

$$S(z) = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{1}{2t} + \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

Evaluating at $z = 1/2^{n+1}$ and $t = \frac{2}{\alpha} - 1$ we have

$$S(1/2^{n+1}) = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{1}{2t} + \frac{n+1}{2} = -2^n + \frac{\alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} + \frac{n+1}{2} = -2^n + \frac{1}{2-\alpha} + \frac{n}{2},$$

as required. □

5 Speed of convergence

The speed of convergence of the series in Theorem 1 is determined by the individual terms in the sequence. For example, consider the series for $1/\alpha$:

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}.$$

The convergence depends upon the speed of convergence of

$$f_1(k, n)/2^{k(n+1)} := \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}.$$

Similarly define

$$f_2(k, n)/2^{k(n+1)} := \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)-2}{k-1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}.$$

$$f_3(k, n)/2^{k(n+1)} := \frac{1}{k} \binom{k(n+1)}{k+1} \frac{1}{2^{k(n+1)}}$$

based on the expansion of α and $1/(2 - \alpha)$.

Notice that, by Stirling's approximation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \log_2(f_1(k, n))/k &\doteq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \log_2(f_2(k, n))/k \\ &\doteq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \log_2(f_3(k, n))/k \\ &\doteq (n+1) \log(n+1) - n \log_2(n), \end{aligned}$$

which, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, tends to

$$\log_2(n+1) + \frac{1}{\log(2)}.$$

Thus, for example, when $n = 2$ (corresponding to the Fibonacci case), we have

$$\log_2 f_i(k, n) \sim (3 \log_2(3) - 2 \log_2(2))k \sim (2.75489 \dots)k.$$

Since each term of the summation is of the form $f_i(k, n)/2^{k(n+1)}$, in the case $n = 2$, the k 'th term is approximately $2^{-.24511k}$. Thus, for example, 1000 terms of the series are expected to give at least 73 correct digits; in fact, it gives 77 or 78 depending on the series. Here by digits of accuracy, we mean $\lfloor -\log_{10} |\text{actual} - \text{estimate}| \rfloor$, which is the number of correct decimal digits after the decimal point. See Table 2 for a summation of various predictions versus actual accuracy.

n	k	Predicted accuracy	Actual accuracy (α)	Actual accuracy ($1/\alpha$)	Actual accuracy ($1/(2 - \alpha)$)
2	100	7	10	10	9
2	1000	73	78	78	77
2	10000	737	744	743	743
10	10	18	23	23	21
10	100	185	192	191	190
10	1000	1856	1864	1863	1862
100	2	55	87	86	83
100	10	279	311	311	307
100	100	2796	2830	2829	2826

Table 2: Predicted and actual accuracy of truncated series

We notice that convergence is much much faster for larger n .

Acknowledgment. The third author wishes to thank Jürgen Gerhard for his assistance with Maple and his suggestions about the problem.

References

- [1] G. P. Dresden. A simplified Binet formula for k -generalized Fibonacci numbers. Preprint, November 27 2011. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.0304>.
- [2] F. Dubeau. On r -generalized Fibonacci numbers. *Fibonacci Quart.* **27** (1989), 221–229.
- [3] M. Feinberg. Fibonacci-Tribonacci. *Fibonacci Quart.* **1** (3) (1963), 71–74.
- [4] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick. *Analytic Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [5] M. Forsyth, A. Jayakumar, and J. Shallit. Remarks on privileged words. Preprint, November 28 2013. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.7403>.
- [6] P. Y. Lin. De Moivre-type identities for the Tetraonacci numbers. In *Applications of Fibonacci Numbers*, Vol. 4, Kluwer, 1991, pp. 215–218.
- [7] E. P. Miles, Jr. Generalized Fibonacci numbers and associated matrices. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **67** (1960), 745–752.
- [8] M. D. Miller. On generalized Fibonacci numbers. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **78** (1971), 1108–1109.
- [9] J. Sharp. Have you seen this number? *Math. Gazette* **82** (1998), 203–214.
- [10] W. R. Spickerman. Binet’s formula for the Tribonacci sequence. *Fibonacci Quart.* **20** (1982), 118–120.
- [11] D. A. Wolfram. Solving generalized Fibonacci recurrences. *Fibonacci Quart.* **36** (1998), 129–145.
- [12] X. Zhu and G. Grossman. Limits of zeros of polynomial sequences. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **11** (2009), 140–158.